

International Reference Ionosphere Introduction, Current Status, and Future Plans



Dieter Bilitza George Mason University, Fairfax, Virginia, USA

What is IRI ?

- IRI is the international standard for the most important plasma parameters in the Earth ionosphere.
- IRI is the result of several decades of international collaborations in the framework of a project jointly sponsored by the <u>Committee on Space Research (COSPAR)</u> and the <u>International Union of Radioscience (URSI).</u>
- COSPAR's prime interest is in a general description of the ionosphere as part of the terrestrial environment for the evaluation of environmental effects on spacecraft and experiments in space.
- URSI's prime interest is in a reference model for defining the background ionosphere for radiowave propagation studies and applications.
- By charter the model should be primarily based on <u>experimental evidence</u> using all available ground and space data sources and <u>should not depend on the evolving</u> <u>theoretical understanding of ionospheric processes</u>.
- ✤ As new data become available and as older data sources are fully evaluated and exploited, the model should be *revised* in accordance with these new results.
- Where discrepancies exist between different data sources the IRI team has facilitate critical review and discussions to determine the <u>reliability of the</u> <u>different data sets</u> and to establish guidelines on which data should be used for IRI modeling.

Which Parameters in what Range

IRI describes monthly averages of

- electron density
- electron temperature
- ion temperature
- ion composition (O⁺, H⁺, He⁺, N⁺, NO⁺, O₂⁺, Cluster ions)

IRI represents variations with

- altitude (50km 2000 km)
- latitude, longitude (geographic or geomagnetic)
- date and time of day

External drivers:

- solar indices: F10.7 (daily, 81-day, 365-day), R (13 month)
- ionospheric index: IG (13 month)
- magnetic indices: ap and kp (3-hour, daily)

Additional output parameters:

- vertical total electron content (vTEC)
- ion drift at magnetic equator
- occurrence probability for spread-F and for F1 layer

IRI Specifics

- Combining the global picture recorded by satellites for different local times and for different levels over solar activity with the 24/7 365 analysis provided by ground stations.
- > Modular approach, e.g., global models for profile anchor points: T_e at different heights from ISIS, AE, IK connected by Epstein functions.
- Switches to choose different model options; e.g. hmF2 models using different data sources, topside N_e profile models using different formalisms.
- > New, better models are easily phased in with validation help from the users
- Avoiding introduction of interdependence between parameters because replacing one parameter model will affect the related parameter.
- IRI drivers: F10.7 (daily, 81-day, 356-day), R (13 month), IG (13 month), Ap, Kp (3-hour, daily)
- User input of measured parameters: NmF2/foF2, hmF2/M(3000)F2, NmF1/foF1, NmE/foE, hmE, B₀, B₁

Empirical Models

Based on ground and/or space data

Use appropriate mathematical functions to represent the characteristic variation patterns seen in long data records;

Do not depend on our evolving understanding of the processes that shape the ionosphere environment

Empirical models are, in general, available to users in the form of a computer program, and can be readily adapted to a specific problem.

Theoretical Models

Consider the production, loss, and transport of ionospheric electrons and ions, and obtain the electron density from the balance of these processes

Use a numerical (iterative) scheme to solve Boltzmann equations.

Theoretical models are primarily used in an investigative mode, to elucidate specific processes and their effects on the ionosphere

Theoretical models require considerable computer time, even on fast machines, and their complex computer code and logic make it difficult for people other than the model developers themselves to apply the model to a specific problem.

In actual fact, most ionospheric models are hybrids, using empirical as well as theoretical elements. An empirical model, for example, may use theoretical values to fill data gaps, whereas a theoretical model may use a data-based mapping for specific parameters as input (e.g., to represent neutral densities and temperature), or as a normalization tool for areas of model-data discrepancies.

Data Used to Develop IRI

- ✤ The worldwide network of <u>ionosondes</u> (150–170 stations) going back to the fifties, and in recent years aided by the steadily increasing network of <u>digisondes</u> (~60): >> foF2, M(3000)F2 hmF2 and bottomside parameters B_0 and B_1 .
- Incoherent scatter radars (ISRs) at Jicamarca, Arecibo, St. Santin, Millstone Hill: >> electron density & temperature, ion temp. & composition top- & bottom-side.
- Topside sounder measurements by the Alouette-1 and -2, ISIS-1 and -2, Intercosmos 19, and ISS-b satellites:
 > electron density profile in the topside ionosphere.
- Satellite in situ measurements from the 60s to the present extending over more than five solar cycles:
 - >> global morphology of electron and ion temperature and ion composition.
- Compilations of <u>rocket</u> data:
- >> electron density and ion composition in the D region and bottomside E region.
- Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) satellite data:
 >GIM vTEC data for comparisons and real-time adjustments.
- <u>Radio occultation (RO)</u> data from COSMIC and other satellites:
 >> global modeling of *hm*F2 and for comparisons and real-time adjustments.

The IRI working group has been proactive in finding new data sources and in fully exploiting older data sources (like the Alouette/ISIS topside sounder data). Special IRI workshops discussed the most reliable data sources for the lower ionosphere and helped to resolve discrepancies that existed early on between measurements from the ground and in space.









In-situ Satellite Data Used for developing IRI



- Covering 5 solar cycles (58 years)
- Altitudes range from 200 km to 4,300 km
- Mostly high inclination orbits with a few low inclination satellites.

| Satellite | Time period | Altitude (km) | Latitude (deg) | LT (hr) |
|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Alouette-1 | 9/1962-12/1971 | 990-1,070 | [-80, +80] | 0-24 |
| Explorer 31 | 11/1965-8/1968 | 500-3,010 | [-79, +79] | 0-24 |
| Alouette-2 | 12/1965-7/1972 | 500-3,000 | [-80, +80] | 0-24 |
| ISIS-1 | 2/1969-5/1980 | 580-3,550 | [-88, +88] | 0-24 |
| OGO-6 | 12/1969-4/1971 | 390-1,090 | [-82, +82] | 0-24 |
| ISIS-2 | 4/1971-8/1979 | 1,360-1,460 | [-88, +88] | 0-24 |
| AEROS-A | 1/1973-8/1973 | 200-870 | [-83, +83] | 3, 15 fixed |
| AE-C | 12/1973-12/1978 | 130-4,300 | [-68, +68] | 0-24 |
| AEROS-B | 7/1974-9/1975 | 140-880 | [-83, +83] | 4, 16 fixed |
| AE-D | 10/1975-1/1976 | 140-3,700 | [-90, +90] | 0-24 |
| AE-E | 12/1975-5/1981 | 140-1,580 | [-20, +20] | 0-24 |
| ISS-b | 8/1978-7/1981 | 970-1,240 | [-69, +69] | 0-24 |
| Intercosmos 19 | 3/1979-1/1981 | 500-1,020 | [-74, +74] | 0-24 |
| Hinotori | 2/1981-6/1982 | 560-640 | [-31, +31] | 0-24 |
| DE-2 | 8/1981-2/1983 | 200-1,020 | [-90, +90] | 0–24 |
| San Marco 5 | 4/1988-12/1988 | 170-590 | [-3, +3] | 0-24 |
| Intercosmos 24 | 10/1989-11/1991 | 500-2,530 | [-83, +83] | 0-24 |
| DMSP F10 | 12/1990-6/1993 | 730-860 | [-90, +90] | 8-20 fixed |
| DMSP F11 | 12/1991-6/1993 | 850-870 | [-90, +90] | 5-17 fixed |
| Intercosmos 25 | 12/1991-6/1993 | 440-3,110 | [-83, +83] | 0-24 |
| SROSS C2 | 1/1995-12/2000 | 380-620 | [-40, +45] | 0-24 |
| DMSP F13 | 3/1995-12/2005 | 840-880 | [-90, +90] | 5.75, 17.75 |
| DMSP F12 | 1/1996-6/2002 | 840-890 | [-90, +90] | 9.5, 21.5 |
| DMSP F14 | 1/1997-12/2005 | 840-880 | [-90, +90] | 9.5, 21.5 |
| ROCSAT-1 | 3/1999-6/2004 | 560-665 | [-35, +35] | 0-24 |
| DMSP F15 | 12/1999-12/2017 | 830-880 | [-90, +90] | 9.5, 21.5 |
| KOMPSAT | 6/2000-8/2001 | ~685 | [-90, +90] | 22.8 |
| CHAMP | 8/2000-2/2010 | 310-460 | [-87, +87] | 0-24 |
| TIMED | 12/2001-12/2009 | 625 | [-74, +74] | 0-24 |
| GRACE | 4/2002-4/2015 | 390-540 | [-89, +89] | 0-24 |
| COSMIC I | 4/2006-4/2020 | 490-870 | [-72, +72] | 0-24 |
| C/NOFS | 8/2008-11/2015 | 260-860 | [-13, +13] | 0-24 |
| Swarm A, C | 12/2013-12/2019 | 430-530 | [-90, +90] | 0-24 |
| Swarm B | 12/2013-12/2019 | 490-550 | [-90, +90] | 0-24 |
| ICON | 10/2019-6/2020 | 575-610 | [-27, +27] | 0–24 |

Built-up of the IRI electron density model

Global Variations:

- Spherical harmonics using modified magnetic coordinates (modip, invdip)
- Interpolation between grid points (Epstein functions, others)

Time Variations:

- Harmonics of different order
- Smooth transitions between day and night values

Height Variations:

- Epstein functions
- Polynomials



Global models for anchor points: foF2/NmF2 foF1/NmF1, foE/NmE, foD/NmD, hmF2/M(3000)F2, hmF1 , hmE, hmD

Epstein functions



Eps₋₁ describes a transition, $Eps_0 a$ step, and $Eps_1 a$ layer. By using x=(h-h_i)/d_i each of these functions is centered at h = h_i with the parameter d_i describing the width of the specific feature.

 Eps_0 is used in IRI for:

- Stepping from day to night values
- Connecting regions of constant gradient (Booker function)



 $dT/dh = m_1 + \Sigma_i (m_{i+1} - m_i)/(1 + exp[-(h-h_i)/d_i])$

$$T = T(h_{0}) + m_{1} \cdot (h - h_{0}) + \frac{5}{1 = 1} (m_{i+1} - m_{1}) \cdot d_{1} \cdot \ln \frac{1 + \exp((h - h_{i})/d_{i})}{1 + \exp((h_{0} - h_{i})/d_{i})}$$
$$m_{i} = (\frac{dT}{dh})_{i-1,i} = \frac{T(h_{i}) - T(h_{i-1})}{h_{i} - h_{i-1}}$$

9

Global models for F2 peak (foF2, NmF2)

ISS-b data

IRI URSI-88



CCIR-65 (recommended in IRI to use of the continents):

 Jones, W. B., & Gallet, R. M., Representation of diurnal and geographical variations of ionospheric data by numerical methods. J. Res. National Bureau of Standards, Section D: Radio Propagation, 66(4), 129–147,1962.
 Jones, W. B., & Gallet, R. M. (1965). Representation of diurnal and geographic variations of ionospheric data by numerical methods, II. Control of instability. ITU Telecommunication Journal, 32(1), 18–28, 1965.

URSI-88 (the IRI default and strongly recommended over the oceans):

Rush C., M. Fox, D. Bilitza, K. Davies, L. McNamara, F. Stewart, and M. PoKempner, Ionospheric mapping – an update of foF2 coefficients, Telecomm J. 56, 179 - 182, 1989.

Damboldt and Suessmann (2012) validation of IRI *fo*F2 with a large volume of ionosonde data over 7 solar cycles finds average error of just a few %.

Ionospheric characteristics

CCIR (1967) global model for foF2

Jones and Gallet 1962 Jones *et al.*, 1969

Ionosonde 1954–1958 plus screen points in ocean areas

Comité Consultatif International Radio-communication of the International Telecommunication Union

..using a special coordinate: modified dip latitude



Introduction

Expressions are provided for the evaluation of the monthly median of foF2, M(3000)F2, foE, foF1, h'F and h'F,F2 and of the monthly median, upper decile and lower decile of foEs and fbEs. Also included are representations of the percentage of occurrence of spread-F. These formulations yield values for any location, month and time-of-day for different solar epochs. In the case of foE and foF1, empirical formulae in terms of so

ionospheric characteristics a numerical mapping technique based on orthog

Order 6 for foF2 Order 4 for M(3000)F2

2 Mapping functions

The general form of the numerical map function, $\Omega(\lambda, \theta, T)$ is the Fourier time series:

$$\Omega(\lambda, \theta, T) = a_0(\lambda, \theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{H} \left[a_j(\lambda, \theta) \cos jT + b_j(\lambda, \theta) \sin jT \right]$$
(1)

where:

1

- Ω: ionospheric characteristic to be mapped
- λ : geographic latitude (-90° $\leq \lambda \leq$ 90°)
- θ: east geographic longitude (0° ≤ θ ≤ 360°) (θ in degrees East of the Greenwich meridian)
- T: universal time (UTC) expressed as an angle ($-180^\circ \le T \le 180^\circ$)
- H: maximum number of harmonics used to represent the diurnal variation.

The Fourier coefficients, $a_j(\lambda, \theta)$ and $b_j(\lambda, \theta)$, vary with the geographic coordinates, and are represented by series of the form:

$$u_j(\lambda,\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} U_{2j,k} G_k(\lambda,\theta), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, H$$
(2a)

$$b_j(\lambda,\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} U_{2j-1,k} \underline{G_k(\lambda,\theta)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, H$$
(2b)

The particular choice of the functions, $G_k(\lambda, \theta)$ is determined by specifying the integers k ($k_0, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_i, \ldots, k_m$; k_m ; $k_m = K$), where *i* is the order in longitude. Therefore, a numerical map can be written more explicitly in the form:

$$MQ_{2}(\theta, T) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} U_{0k} \ G_{k}(\lambda, \theta) + \sum_{j=1}^{H} \left[\cos j \ T \sum_{k=0}^{K} U_{2j,k} \ G_{k}(\lambda, \theta) + \sin j \ T \sum_{k=0}^{K} U_{2j-1,k} \ G_{k}(\lambda, \theta) \right]$$
(3)

 $U_{2j,k}$ and $U_{2j-1,k}$ in equations (2a), (2b) and (3), can be written as $U_{s,k}$, where s is either 2j or 2j - 1.

In the numerical mapping technique, the modified magnetic dip:

$$X = \arctan\left(\frac{I}{\sqrt{\cos\lambda}}\right) \tag{4}$$

has been used, where *I* is the magnetic dip and λ is the geographic latitude. Since *X* is a function of both geographic latitude and longitude, the formal expression of Ω (λ , θ , *T*), equation (3), is unchanged. Table 1 shows the geographic functions, $G_k(\lambda, \theta)$.

... and a special set of geographic coordinate functions

TABLE 1

76 coefficients for foF2 49 coefficinets for M(3000)F2

Geographic coordinate functions $G_k(\lambda, \theta)$

(X is a function of λ and θ , m is the maximum order in longitude)

$$q_0 = k_0; \ q_i \ (i = 1, m) = \frac{k_i - k_{i-1} - 2}{2}$$

| k | Main latitude variation | k | First order longitude | k | Second order longitude | k | mth order longitude |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|---|----------------------|--|
| 0 | 1 | $k_0 + 1$ | $\cos \lambda \cos \theta$ | $k_1 + 1$ | $\cos^2 \lambda \cos 2 \theta$ | $k_{m-1} + 1$ | $\cos^m \lambda \cos m \theta$ |
| 1 | sin X | $k_0 + 2$ | $\cos\lambda\sin\theta$ | $k_1 + 2$ | $\cos^2 \lambda \sin 2 \theta$ | $k_{m-1} + 2$ | $\cos^m \lambda \sin m \theta$ |
| 2 | sin ² X | k ₀ + 3 | $\sin X \cos \lambda \cos \theta$ | $k_1 + 3$ | $\sin X \cos^2 \lambda \cos 2 \theta$ | $k_{m-1} + 3$ | $\sin X \cos^m \lambda \cos m \theta$ |
| | | $k_0 + 4$ | $\sin X \cos \lambda \sin \theta$ | $k_1 + 4$ | $\sin X \cos^2 \lambda \sin 2 \theta$ | $k_{m-1} + 4$ | $\sin X \cos^m \lambda \sin m \theta$ |
| • • • | | | | | | | |
| k ₀ | $\sin^{q_0} X$ | $k_1 - 1$ | $\sin^{q_1} X \cos \lambda \cos \theta$ | $k_2 - 1$ | $\sin^{q_2} X \cos^2 \lambda \cos 2 \theta$ | $k_m - 1$ | $\sin^{q_m} X \cos^m \lambda \cos m \theta$ |
| | | <i>k</i> ₁ | $\sin^{q_1} X \cos \lambda \sin \theta$ | k2 | $\sin^{q_2} X \cos^2 \lambda \sin 2 \theta$ | k _m 🦉 | $\sin^{q_m} X \cos^m \lambda \sin m \theta$ |

(2) Title: Family Name: .

FTARY REOUREMENTS:

SUIDA JEUL SWAR

CCIR 1967

Jones and Gallet, 1962 Jones *et al.*, 1969

lonosondes 1954-1958

Screen points, especially over the oceans and southern hemisphere, through extrapolation along lines of constant modified magnetic dip angle

6th order harmonics in UT and special functions for global specification

Recommended over continents

URSI 1988

Fox and McNamara,1988 Rush *et al.*,1989

45,000 station months of ionosonde data

Theoretical model was adjusted such that it agreed with measured *foF2* values over land, and then was used to compute screen points over oceans and in data sparse regions

Same functions as CCIR

Recommended over ocean areas

Room for improvement: Large volume of more recent data, better models for screen point analysis, better functions, better computers

IRI Drivers – Indices used

| Electron | D-Region | Bilitza, 1981 | R12 | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|
| Density (N) | | Friedrich et al., 2018 | F10.7D | P12 = 12-month running magn of |
| | E-Doch | Danilov et al., 1995 Vouric & Muggloton 1072 | ΓΙΟ.7D, Κ _p | sunspot number |
| | L-Peur | Mortons et al. 2013 | | F10.7D = daily F10.7 index (adjusted to AU) |
| | F1-Region | Ducharme et al. 1973 | Q _p R12 | F10.7DD = drilly F10.7 index (dajusted to AD) |
| | TTRegion | Scotto et al. 1997 | R12 | FIO.7DP - daily FIO.7 Index of previous day |
| | Bottom- | Bilitza et al., 2000 | R12 | FIO.7DPO = FIO.7DP observed |
| | -side | Altadill et al. 2009 | R12 | F10.7_81 = 81-day running mean of F10.7 |
| | F-Peak | Jones&Gallet, 1965 | IG12 | F10.7_12 = 12-month running mean of F10.7 |
| | | Rush et al., 1989 | IG12 | PF10.7 = (F10.7_81 + F10.7D)/2 |
| | | Fuller-Rowell et al., 2000 | a _n * | COV= F10.7_365 |
| | hmF2 | Bilitza et al. 1979 | R12 | COVSAT= COV if(COV.gt.188.) COVSAT=188 |
| | | Altadill et al. 2013 | R12 | IG = Global Ionospheric index |
| | | Shubin 2015 | F10.7_81 | IG12 = 12-month running mean of IG |
| | Topside | Rawer et al. 1978 | COVSAT | *a = 3-br a index for current time and the |
| | | Nava et al. 2008 | R12 | $a_p = 5$ -in a_p index for current time and the |
| | | Bilitza & Xiong, 2021 | PF10.7 | |
| | Spread-F | Abdu et al. 2003 | F10.7D | |
| Temperatures | $T_{\rm e}$, $T_{\rm i}$ | Truhlik et al. 2011, 2021 | PF10.7 | |
| (T _e , T _i , T _n) | T_n CIRA | Picone et al. 2002 | F10.7_81o, F | =10.7DPo |
| lon | N i(h <u><</u> 300km) | Richards et al. 2010 | F10.7_81o, F | F10.7DPo |
| composition | N i(h > 300km) | Triskova et al. 2003 | PF10.7 | |
| and velocity | N :(h < 300km) | Danilov&Smirnova 95 | F10.7 12 | |
| | N (h > 300km) | Danilov&Yaichnikov 85 | _ F10.7_12 | 14 |
| (<i>N</i> _i , <i>V</i> _i) | <i>V</i> i | Fejer et al. 2007 | F10.7D | |
| Auroral Bound | darv | Zhang et al., 2010 | K _p | |

Problems with solar indices R and F10.7

R is related to the number spots observed in sun's photosphere. F10.7 is related to the solar irradiance at 10.7cm wavelength while the irradiance responsible for ionospheric ionization is in the range 1-120nm (X-ray to EUV).





R was recently significantly revised; a factor ~1.5 increase (Clette et al., SW, 2015). Since the IRI models were developed with the 'old' R12 we use a scale factor of 0.7 with the new R12.

Solar indices describe only solar influence (ionization) not dynamics of F region. Good in solar-controlled E and F1 region.

1-2 day delay between solar irradiance and ionospheric response

Ionosonde Index IG

Based on work of Liu et al., Telecomm. J., 1988:

- Using noontime measurements of *foF2* from 13 globally distributed ionosondes.
- Is obtained by adjusting R_{12} in the CCIR-*foF2* model until agreement is achieved between ionosonde data and model.
- Then taking the median of the 13 adjusted R_{12} values.

Problems:

- Stations have varied over time and the original 13 are now down to 4 (Chilton, Port Stanley, Kokubunji, and Canberra).
- There is an IG_{CCIR} index but not an equivalent IG_{URSI} index.
- Only noon time data are being used.
- Ionosonde indices work best locally or regionally; averaging over too wide a latitude range greatly diminishes the usefulness of these indices.

IRI Improvements

□ New data and better data averaging/fitting methods and functions

□ More accurate description of the driver-parameter relationship

- IRI uses 13-month running means of R and IG for F-peak and other parameters
- The sunspot number index R was recently significantly revised; a factor 1.4 increase (Clette et al., SW, 2015).
- The ionosonde-based IG is better than EUV indices because it includes dynamical effects; EUV indices are better than R and F10.7 because the ionospheric plasma is created by EUV radiation.
- Time delays of 1-3 days need to be considered when using solar indices.

Updating IRI with real-time or retrospective data

- IRI allows input of NmF2/foF2, hmF2, NmF1/foF1, NmE/foE, hmE, B0, B1 if appropriate measurements are available.
- Equivalent R and/or IG are obtained by adjusting IRI output with F-peak measurements and/or TEC.

Assimilating real-time or retrospective data into IRI

- IRTAM: Real-time global digisonde data for foF2, hmF2, B0, and 8
- Various techniques for assimilating foF2 and TEC data into IRI.

Advantage of Empirical Models

Being an empirical model IRI has the advantage that it already included some of the newly discovered phenomena that theoretical modelers still need to investigate and fully include in their modeling framework.

Weddell Sea and Yakutsk Anomalies: *foF2* in summer larger during nighttime than during daytime. The meridional component of the neutral wind reaches maximum values at the anomaly sites (during summer nights) because of the combined effect of solar EUV heating (sunlit magnetic pole in summer) and heating from precipitating auroral electrons.



Longitudinal 4-peak wave pattern near the magnetic equator: First observed with IMAGE/EUV and then confirmed with data from CHAMP, TOPEX and TIMED/GUVI. This phenomena is thought to being caused by nonmigrating diurnal atmospheric tides that are, in turn, driven mainly by weather in the tropics.

Disadvantage of Empirical Models

Only as good as the data base available for its development.



Recent very low and broad solar minima brought conditions not covered by data available from previous solar minima leading to overestimations by the model.





IRI Real-Time Algorithms

- ADJUSTING IRI DRIVERS (INDICES) UNTIL IRI AGREES WITH OBSERVATIONS
- ASSIMILATING REAL-TIME DATA INTO BACKGROUND IRI
- BOTH TECHNIQUES CAN BE USED ALSO FOR RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS
- FORECAST REQUIRES INDICES FORECAST

Adjusting IRI Drivers (Indices)

Indices used to drive the IRI model:

- Solar indices: F10.7 (daily, 81-day, 365-day), R (12-month running mean)
- Ionospheric (ionosonde) index: IG (12 month running mean)
- Magnetic indices: ap and kp (3-hour, daily)

Updating algorithms using effective indices:

- Bilitza et al. (1997) Effective IG12 index (EIG12) determined with ionosonde foF2 data
- Komjathy et al. (1998),- EIG12 with GPS vTEC map
- Hernandez-Pajares et al. (2002) EIG12 with GPS slant TEC
- Zhang et al. (2010) Effective Kp from GUVI/SSUSI for auroral boundary model
- ◆ Pezzopane et al. (2011) **EIG12** from ionosondes plus assimilation of bottomside profiles
- Migoya-Orué et al. (2015) EIG12 with GIM and using interpolation within a grid
- Ssessanga et al. (2015) Regional IRI update with **EIG12** from South-Korean *TEC* data
- Habarulema and Ssessanga (2016) ER12 and EIG12 for IRI with African TEC data
- Pignalberi et al. (2018) ER12 and EIG12 grid from ionosonde data and Kriging method
- Brown et al. (2018) Hemispheric monthly EIG indices from ionosonde foF2
- Pignalberi et al. (2019) Updated their method with ER12/EIG12 to also rely on vTEC data

Assimilating Data into IRI

Direct input into IRI model:

✤ Observations of foF2/NmF2, hmF2/M(3000)F2, foF1/NmF1, foE/NmE, hmE, B0, B1

Assimilation of GNSS TEC data into IRI:

- Schmidt et al. (2008), Weijing et al. (2015) Multi-dimensional B-spline with GNSS and TOPEX/Jason
- Fridman et al. (2006) GPSII, Tikhonov method with GPS data
- Angling et al. (2009) EDAM assimilates GPS data with a minimum variance estimation technique
- An et al. (2019) Assimilating Jason-2/-3 and > 300 GNSS stations from 2014 and 2018 using a twodimensional spherical harmonic expansion in a sun-fixed geomagnetic reference frame.

Assimilation of ionosonde data into IRI:

Galkin et al. (2012, 2020),– IRI Real-Time Assimilative Mapping (IRTAM): Assimilative Mapping with GIRO ionosonde data using CCIR formalism for data-model difference maps and NECTAR model morphing algorithm.

Assimilation of a combination of different data sources:

- Yue et al. (2012) Assimilating GPS and Jason 1,2 vTEC, and Radio Occultation (RO) from CHAMP, GRACE, COSMIC, SAC-C, Metop-A, and TerraSAR-X with the Kalman filter technique for 2002-2011.
- Aa et al. (2016) Regional model using 3-dimensional variational technique to assimilate GNSS data from Chinese and International networks, and COSMIC RO data.
- ✤ Lin et al. (2017) Gauss-Markov Kalman filter assimilation of GPS and RO data into IRI.
- Mengist et al. (2019) Assimilating GNSS and COSMIC RO data into IRI using a 3D-Var Algorithm (IDA4D) for a regional model.

New in IRI-2020

- D-Region: An updated model for the D-region electron density based on a simple ionchemical model that is corrected with radio propagation data from 327 rocket fights (Friedrich, M., et al. JGR 123(8) 6737-6751, 2018).
- **Topside:** Improved representation during low solar activity based on Alouette, ISIS, CHAMP, Grace, and Swarm data (*Bilitza, D. & Xiong, C., Adv. Space Res., 68(5), 2124-2137, 2021*).
- Ion temperature: New model based on in-situ observations from 18 satellites intercalibrated with ISR data and consisting of global maps at 350, 430, 600, and 850 km (Truhlik, V. et al., MDPI Atmosphere, 12(8), 1081, 2021)
- Ion drift: Equatorial vertical ion drift model based on 5 years of ROCSAT-1 measurements using an interpolation scheme between grid points in altitude, longitude, local time, season and solar activity (Fejer et al., JGR, 113, 2008)
- New Default: IRI-2016 introduced two new options for hmF2 the digisonde-based model of Altadill et al. (2015) and the COSMIC-RO-based model of Shubin (2015) and recommended the first as the default model. Based on the results from several recent studies comparing the models to additional data the recommended default was changed to the Shubin model
- Plasmasphere extension: Using the model of Gallagher et al. (2000) or the Ozhooth et al. (2012) model at selected fixpoints and using the Booker approach to connect thee fixpoints with the topside profile
- F1 layer: Option of a strict omission of the F1 feature independent of the IRI-estimated probability. This was added because of the disruptive effect the on-off transition can have on applications that require a continuous varying electron density profile.

IRI - Future Plans

- Real-Time IRI: Collaboration with IGS lono group to make use of the ever improving global vTEC representation for adjusting the IRI topside electron densities to real-time conditions.
- Sporadic-E: Develop a global model of the occurrence probability of Sporadic-E based on COSMIC I and II data
- Scintillation/Spread-F/Bubbles: Occurrence probability of scintillations, spread-F and equatorial bubble activity based on COSMIC and other data
- **foF2:** Include the model developed by Shubin and Deminov (2019) based on ionosonde and radio occultation data as a new option for the representation of the F2 peak plasma frequency including storm effects and main ionospheric trough
- Import Instant Instant Stress Stre

IRI Working Group Members (67/29)



IRI team's global distribution guarantees access to the global data base

IRI Workshops and Publications

IRI CCBW NCU, Taiwan

> **COSPAR** General Assembly

IRI CCBW Frederick University Nicosia, Cyprus

COSPAR General Assembly

URSI General Assembly

COSPAR General Assembly

IRI CCBW URSI

General Assembly

COSPAR General Assembly Real-time lonospheric Predictions with IRI and COSMIC and other GNSS Data

Description of the lonosphere through Data Assimilation

Real-time ionospheric modeling in the European and African sector

Improving the Description of Hemispheric Differences in Ionospheric Models

IRI: Progress in Retrospective and Real-Time Ionospheric Predictions

Real-time and retrospective ionospheric modelling with in-situ and GNSS data

Improved Real-time IRI Predictions with data from spaceborne sensors and GNSS

International Reference Ionosphere: Improvement, Validation and Usage

International Reference Ionosphere: Improvements, Validation and Applications



Advances in Space Research Vol 63, No 6, 2019

Pasadena, California, USA July 14-22, 2018



Advances in Space Research Vol 68, No 5, 2021

Sydney, Australia Online, Jan 25- Feb 4, 2021

Rome, Italy Hybrid, Aug 28- Sep 4, 2021

Athens, Greece Hybrid, July 16 - 24, 2022

> Daejeon, South Korea May 8-19 2023 Sappore, Japan August 19 - 26, 2023

Busan, South Korea July 13 - 21, 2024

irimodel.org

2024

2017

2018

2019

2021

2021

2022

2023

2023

IRI Usage in AGU Journals

Percentage of papers per year that acknowledge usage of the IRI model in the AGU journals *Journal of Geophysical Research, Radio Science, and Space Weather* for the years 2009 to 2022.



IRI highly cited in wide range of journals

About 200 citations per year in many different journals (36):

Advances in Space Research Annales Geophysicae Astrophysics and Space Science Chinese Journal of Aeronautica **Computers & Geosciences** Earth, Planets and Space Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta **Geophysical Research Letters GPS** Solutions IEEE Trans. Geosci. & Remote Sensing Journal of Atmos. Solar-Terr. Physics Journal of Geophysical Research J. Machinery Manufact. & Reliability Metrics Navigation Plasma Science and Technology **MDPI** Remote Sensing **Solar Physics** Space Weather

Advances in Radio Science **Applied Optics MDPI** Atmospheres **Computer Physics Communications** Cosmic Research Frontiers Astronomy & Space Science **Geodesy and Geodynamics** Geomagnetism and Aeronomy **IEEE** Access Journal of Asian Earth Science Journal of Geodesy Journal of Geospatial Information Technology Journal Space Weather & Space Climate Planetary and Space Science **Radio Science Results in Physics Space Science Review** Surveys in Geophysics

The 2017 IRI paper was the most cited paper in AGU's Space Weather journal



Reviews of Geophysics[°]

REVIEW ARTICLE

10.1029/2022RG000792

Key Points:

- International Reference lonosphere (IRI): Scientific background and mathematical formalism
- Description of the latest version of the model: IRI-2022
- IRI Achievements and plans for the future

Correspondence to:

D. Bilitza, dbilitza@gmu.edu

Citation:

Bilitza, D., Pezzopane, M., Truhlik, V., Altadill, D., Reinisch, B. W., & Pignalberi, A. (2022). The International Reference Ionosphere model: A review and description of an ionospheric benchmark. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 60, e2022RG000792. https://doi. org/10.1029/2022RG000792

Received 16 JUN 2022 Accepted 13 SEP 2022

Author Contributions:

The International Reference Ionosphere Model: A Review and Description of an Ionospheric Benchmark

Dieter Bilitza^{1,2}, Michael Pezzopane³, Vladimir Truhlik⁴, David Altadill⁵, Bodo W. Reinisch^{6,7}, and Alessio Pignalberi³

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA, ²Heliospheric Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA, ³Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Roma, Italy, ⁴Institute of Atmospheric Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic, ⁵Observatori de l'Ebre, CSIC, Universitat Ramon Llull, Roquetes, Spain, ⁶Lowell Digisonde International, Lowell, MA, USA, ⁷Space Science Laboratory, University of Massachusetts, Lowell, MA, USA

Abstract This paper is a review of the International Reference Ionosphere (IRI) project and model. IRI is recognized as the official standard for the Earth's ionosphere by the International Standardization Organization, the International Union of Radio Science, the Committee on Space Research, and the European Cooperation for Space Standardization. As requested by these organizations, IRI is an empirical (data-based) model representing the primary ionospheric parameters based on the long data record that exists from ground and space observations of the ionosphere. The core model describes monthly averages of the electron density, electron temperature, ion temperature, and ion composition globally in the altitude range from 60 to 2,000 km. Over time additional parameters were added in response to requests from the user community, this includes the equatorial ion drift, the occurrence probability of spread-F and of an F1 layer, auroral boundaries and the electron content from the bottom of the ionosphere to user-specified altitude. IRI has undergone extensive validations and is used for a wide range of applications in science, engineering, and education. This review is the result of many requests we have received for a comprehensive description of the model. It is also meant as a guide for users who are interested in a deeper understanding of the model architecture and its mathematical formalism.